



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/075,060	02/11/2002	Gabe Cherian	7459		
7	590 04/21/2004		EXAMINER		
GABE CHERIAN			LEE, CALVIN		
201 BLUEBEI P.O. BOX 133:			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
SUN VALLEY	-		2825		
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/075,060	CHERIAN, GABE	
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Lee, Calvin	2825	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespond nc addre	∋ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
•	— is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	·		ierits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-, .	• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National St	age
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
2) Notice of Dratisperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date			52)

Application No: 10/075,060 Page 2 Gabe CHERIAN

Docket No

OFFICE ACTION

Election/Restriction

This invention application contains claims directed to four patentably distinct species: 1.

Group A (1-2, 4-7): A method for making electrical interconnection [class 438, subclass 117];

Group B (3): A leadframe of an electronic device [class 257, subclass 674];

Group C (8): A connector or socket of an electronic device [class 257, subclass 773];

Group D (9-12): A method for making electrical interconnection with connecting elelments [class 438, subclass 123].

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Applicant must choose one species from each of Groups A thru D, because of the following reasons:

- 1) Group A compared to Group B: Its leads are not necessarily twisted.
- 2) Group A compared to Group C: Leads vs. a connector or socket comprising contact springs.
- 3) Group A compared to Group D: It does not have connecting elements comprising means to prevent any materials from migrating away from joints.
- 4) Group B compared to Group C: A leadframe vs. a connector or socket comprising contact springs.
- 5) Group B compared to Group D: It does not have connecting elements at all.
- 6) Group C compared to Group D: It does not have connecting elements at all.

Examiner also notes that claim 8 of Group C related to socket (or connector) does not have any connection with the scope of the invention.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the 2. species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a)

Application No: 10/075,060

Docket No Gabe CHERIAN

Page 3

3. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be completed must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37CFR 1.143).

Contact Information

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the Examiner should be directed to *Calvin Lee* at (571) 272-1896 from 7:00 to 17:00 (Monday-Thursday, Eastern Time). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, Art Unit 2825's Supervisory Patent Examiner *Matthew Smith* can be reached at (571) 272-1907.

Any inquiry relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0596. The central fax number is (703) 872-9306 for all communications to be entered (e.g., amendments, remarks, IDS, etc.)

CL

April 17, 2004

MAITHEW SULLT:
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800